2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Allergy, Immunology, and Transplantation Research Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 08:16:03 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2018

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Allergy, Immunology, and Transplantation Research

Committee 785

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 07/30/1998

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Commitee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

Reports FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 3 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 3 Meetings and Dates

 Purpose
 Start
 End

 NIH Peer Review
 10/19/2017
 - 10/20/2017

 NIH Peer Review
 02/15/2018
 - 02/16/2018

 NIH Peer Review
 06/28/2018
 - 06/29/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 3

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$21,900.00	\$21,900.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$263,739.00	\$249,551.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$25,006.00	\$25,146.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00

. -> -

- -

 18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants
 \$0.00

 18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)
 \$10,796.00
 \$10,904.00

 18d. Total
 \$321,441.00\$307,501.00

 19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)
 2.10
 1.90

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B)... biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.... This committee is composed entirely of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of allergy, clinical immunology, immunopathology, immunobiology, immunogenetics, immunochemistry, and transplantation biology. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 113 grant applications requesting \$106,136,495 in direct costs.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of allergy, clinical immunology, immunobiology, immunopathology, immunochemistry, immunogenetics and transplantation biology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held three meetings during this reporting period, 10/19-20/2017; 2/15-16/2018 and 6/28-29/2018.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed entirely of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge. Their recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the complex nature of research and of research program emphasis and management requires a specialized balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permits the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the

disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

URL: This committee does not have a website. Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/. Past individual meeting rosters are available by contacting the DFO.

Designated Federal Officer

James Snyder Scientific Review Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ALEGRE, MARIA-LUISA	07/01/2012	2 06/30/2018	3 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ALEXANDER, LACY	′ 06/28/2018	06/29/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF KINESIOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
ANOLIK, JENNIFER	07/01/2018	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
BERNSTEIN, DAVID	0 07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR OF CLINICAL MEDICINE AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	Peer Review Consultant Member
BETTS, BRIAN	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	3 PHYSICIAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
BLACKMAN, MARCIA	07/01/2016	6 06/30/2022	2 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BLEAKLEY, MARIE	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRISSETTE, CATHERINE	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BROWN, BRIAN	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BURKS, A.	07/01/2015	06/30/2021	EXECUTIVE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
COUSSONS-READ, MARY	' 10/19/2017	10/20/2017	SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE CHANCELLOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cauley, Linda	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

ELLIS, DEBORAH	10/19/2017 10/20	0/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member Peer Review
ERNST, PETER	07/01/2018 06/30	0/2020 PROFESSOR	Consultant Member
FRENCH, ANTHONY	06/28/2018 06/29	9/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS, PATHOLOGY AND IMMUNOLOGY, AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING	Peer Review Consultant Member
GASTON, ROBERT	07/01/2014 06/30	0/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member Peer Review
GHANEM, KHALIL	02/15/2018 02/16	6/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Consultant Member
GRAYSON, MITCHELL	09/05/2013 06/30	0/2019 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HACZKU, ANGELA	06/28/2018 06/29	9/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAHN, YOUNG	07/01/2015 06/30	0/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAVRAN, WENDY	07/01/2015 06/30	0/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HERMISTON, MICHELLE	07/01/2018 06/30	0/2020 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KAMBAYASHI, TAKU	07/01/2017 06/30	0/2023 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF PATHOLOGY AND LABORATORY MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member Peer Review
KAUFMAN, DIXON	07/01/2017 06/30	0/2023 RAY D.OWEN PROFESSOR AND CHIEF	Consultant Member
KREISEL, DANIEL	07/01/2018 06/30	0/2022 PROFESSOR OF SURGERY, PATHOLOGY & IMMUNOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
KRISHNASWAMY, GUHA	02/15/2018 02/16	6/2018 PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
MEDINA, KAY	07/01/2018 06/30	0/2024 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MITCHELL, THOMAS	06/28/2018 06/29	9/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOREL, PENELOPE	07/01/2016 06/30	0/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OJO, AKINLOLU	07/01/2018 06/30	0/2022 PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH PROMOTION SCIENCES	Peer Review Consultant Member
PIZARRO, THERESA	02/15/2018 02/16	6/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

PLATSOUCAS, CHRIS	02/15/2018	02/16/2018 PROFESSOR AND CHAIRMAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHWARTZ, LAWRENCE	07/01/2015	06/30/2019 CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHIMAMURA, MASAKO	07/01/2018	06/30/2022 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SINGH, RAM	06/28/2018	06/29/2018 PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE AND PATHOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
UNDERHILL, DAVID	07/01/2015	06/30/2019 ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VAN KAER, LUC	06/28/2018	06/29/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WATFORD, WENDY	′ 06/28/2018	06/29/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WOOD, CHARLES	02/15/2018	02/16/2018 PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WOODLAND, ROBERT	07/01/2013	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 40

Narrative Description

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission if to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward the mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that "The Secretary . . . shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of – (A) applications . . .; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts . . ."

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	

Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other	
Outcome Comments NA	
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often tak	e many years to
unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diagnostic	seases

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

1.434

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency? 0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at http://report.nih.gov.

What i	s the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or
will be	Partially implemented by the agency?
0%	

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at http://report.nih.gov.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹	No	Not Applicable	

Agency Feedback Comments

Members of the committee are made aware of the results of their grant review.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	X
Other	

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a

number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval	113
What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval	113
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval	\$106,136,495

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Contact DFO
Online Agency Web Site
Online Committee Web Site
Online GSA FACA Web Site
Publications
Other

Access Comments

Contact IC Committee Management Officer 240-669-5201